

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States atent and Trademark Office COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FOR Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,228	06/25/2001	Jeffrey H. Alger	03797.00013	3296	
75	01/06/2006	EXAMINER			
Pamela I. Banner			CHEA, PHILIP J		
Banner & Witcoff, Ltd. 1001 G Street, N.W., 11th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	C 20001-4597		2153		
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. ALGER ET AL. 09/892,228 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Philip J. Chea 2153 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Tom Evans 35,805. (1) Philip J. Chea. (4)____. (2) William Vaughn. Date of Interview: 05 January 2006. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e)□ No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: see attached. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6. Identification of prior art discussed: Real Player Plus. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Evans and the Examiner discussed different ways the claim language could be written to overcome the art rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO

FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the Interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the Interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an Identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an Identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The Identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other partinent matters discussed, and
- 7) If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.



601 S.W SECOND AVENUE SUITE 1900 PORTLAND, OREGON 97204

TEL: 503.425.6800 FAX: 503.425.6801 www.bannerwitcoff.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET						
To:		FROM:				
Examiner Chea		Thomas L. Evans				
COMPANY:		DATE:				
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office		December 15, 2005				
FAX NUMBER:		TOTAL NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER SHEET):				
(571) 273-3951		5				
Your Reference No.:		Our Reference (C/M) No.:				
Application No. 09/892,228		003797.00013				
RE:						
Applicant	Initiated Interview Request Form					
If you do I	not receive all page(s) or have any pro	blems receiving this transmission, please call:				
NAME:	Thomas L. Evans Reg. # 35,805	PHONE: (503) 425-6800				

COMMENTS:

Kindly acknowledge receipt of transmittal by way of email or facsimile

IMPORTANT/CONFIDENTIAL: This message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. This message contains information from the law firm of BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD, which may be privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, retention, archiving, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately at our telephone number listed above. We will be happy to arrange for the return of this message to our offices at no cost to you.

CHICAGO

WASHINGTON, D.C.

BOSTON

PORTLAND, OR

PTCL-413A (03-03)
Approved for use through xxxxxxxxx OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENTOF COMMERCE

Applican	t Initiated Inte	rview Req	uest Form					
Application No.: 09/892,228 Examiner: P. Chea	First Named Ap Art Unit: 2153		ey H. ALGER pplication: Ur	ET AL ader Appeal				
Tentative Participants: (1) Examiner Philip Chea	(2) Tom Eva	ns (Reg. No. 35	,805)					
(3) Primary Examiner Vaughn	(4)							
Proposed Date of Interview: Proposed Time: AM PM								
Type of Interview Requested: (1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO								
If yes, provide brief description:								
	Issues To Be			NI-4 A N				
Issues Claims/ (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s (1)	s to be Presented:	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed				
An interview was conducted or NOTE: This form should be completed interview (see MPEP § 713.01) This application will not be del record of this interview. There interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as Applicant/Applicant's Represent	by applicant and a layed from issue be fore, applicant is a soon as possible.	submitted to t ecause of appli dvised to file	the examiner in icant's failure t	o submit a written he substance of this				

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 infauttes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patentand Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerca, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THISADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PROPOSED CLAIM AMENDMENTS

What is claimed is:

- 1. (Currently Amended) An application that uses content to perform a function, comprising:
 - a client portal for retrieving content from a network, such that

content retrieved by the client portal from the network is seamlessly integrated into the application for use, and

the client portal is capable of retrieving content from only preselected sites in the network preselected by a publisher or distributor of the client portal.

2. (Original) The application recited in claim 1, wherein

the application provides an interface for manipulating content retrieved from the network; and

the client portal retrieves content from the network directly into the interface.

- 3. (Original) The application recited in claim 1, wherein the application is a rendering application for displaying eBooks.
- 4. (Original) The application recited in claim 1, wherein the application is a media player for playing electronic media.
 - 5. (Canceled).
- 6. (Original) A client portal for facilitating the purchase of a particular product, comprising:
- a browser capable of retrieving content from only preselected sites that are related to providing the particular product.
- 7. (Original) The client portal recited in claim 6, further including a memory for storing the preselected sites.
- 8. (Original) The client portal recited in claim 6, wherein at least one of the preselected sites lists other preselected sites.

- 9. (Original) The client portal recited in claim 6, wherein at least one of the preselected sites lists sites that are available for preselection.
- 10. (Original) The client portal recited in claim 6, wherein, when the browser requests content from a site, the browser provides a header identifying characteristics of the browser.
- 11. (Original) The client portal recited in claim 6, wherein at least one of the preselected sites includes a catalog of items for purchase through the client portal.
 - 12. (Original) The client portal recited in claim 11, wherein the client portal further includes a memory, and the catalog is downloaded to the memory
- 13. (Original) The client portal recited in claim 11, wherein the catalog contains content from multiple content sources.
- 14. (Original) The client portal recited in claim 11, wherein
 the browser identifies a user of the client portal; and
 the catalog contains a selection of items for purchase based upon a previous
 purchase history of the user.
 - 15. (Canceled).
 - 16. (Canceled).
 - 17. (Canceled).
 - 18. (Canceled).
 - 19. (Canceled).
 - 20. (Canceled).
 - 21. (Canceled).
 - 22. (Canceled).
 - 23. (Canceled).
 - 24. (Canceled).